AN EXPONENTIAL AUTOREGRESSIVE (EXPAR) MODEL FOR THE FORECASTING OF ALL INDIA ANNUAL RAINFALL

1. In agricultural research, data are generally collected sequentially. For modelling and forecasting of such data, Box-Jenkins Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) methodology is the most popular, powerful and widely used time-series models. However, the ARIMA models are insufficient in many practical situations as they are not able to take into account important features of observed time-series data in practical situations (Fan and Yao, 2003).

India is an agrarian country as agriculture provides the principal means of livelihood for over 58.4% of India’s population. It contributed 13.7% to the total gross domestic product (GDP) for the year 2013-14. Further, agriculture also accounts for about 10 per cent of the total export earnings and provides raw material to a large number of industries. The agricultural growth of a country depends to a large extend on the quantity and spread of rainfall. So if forecasting of the rainfall of our country is done properly then it may be helpful for proper planning and policy making. Accordingly, various authors have attempted to forecast rainfall data [Chandran and Prajneshu (2007); Ghosh et al. (2010); Ghosh and Prajneshu (2011); Chattopadhyay and Chattopadhyay (2013)]. A review of multiple and power regression models employed in India since 1988 along with various modifications made in these models from time to time has been provided in Rajeevan et al. (2004).

In this paper, a versatile parametric nonlinear time-series model, viz., Exponential autoregressive (EXPAR) model (Ozaki, 1993), is studied for forecasting the Indian annual rainfall time-series data which showed cyclical fluctuations (Fig. 1). In addition, a comparison of EXPAR model with an ARIMA model is also made to see its utility over the usual linear time-series models.

2. The EXPAR nonlinear time-series model can generate time-series data with different types of marginal distributions by restricting the space of the parameters in various specific regions (Ozaki, 1993). It is also capable of capturing the non-Gaussian characteristics of the time-series and also can have a marginal distribution belonging to the exponential family. It can also account for jump phenomena, amplitude-dependent frequency and limit cycle. Thus, EXPAR model is capable of dealing quite satisfactorily with cyclical data of various natures.

EXPAR (\(p\)) nonlinear time-series model is given as:

\[
X_{t+1} = \left\{ \varphi_1 + \pi_1 \exp(-\gamma X_t^2) \right\} X_t + \ldots \\
+ \left\{ \varphi_p + \pi_p \exp(-\gamma X_t^2) \right\} X_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_{t+1}
\]

where \(\gamma > 0\) is a scaling constant and \(\{\epsilon_t\}\) is white noise process with mean zero and variance \(\sigma^2\). The connection between EXPAR model and well-known Autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic (ARCH) model, proposed by Noble Laureate R. F. Engle in 1982, was thoroughly discussed by Ozaki (1993). A brief description of the procedure for estimating the parameters of EXPAR model is as follows (Baragona et al., 2002).

The algorithm requires that an interval \((a, b)\), \(\alpha \geq 0\), be pre-specified for the \(\gamma\) values. This interval is split in \(M\) sub-intervals, so that a grid of candidate \(\gamma\) values is built. Let \(\delta= (b - a)/M\) and \(\gamma = a\). Then, for \(M\) times, the following steps are performed:

(i) Set \(\gamma = \gamma + \delta\)

(ii) Estimate \(\varphi_j\) and \(\pi_j\) by ordinary least squares regression of \(X_t\) on \(X_{t-j}, X_{t-j} \exp(-\gamma X_t^2), \) \(j=1,\ldots, p\).

(iii) Compute the NAIC and repeat step (ii) for \(p = 1,\ldots, P\), where \(P\) is a pre-specified integer greater than 1.

Final estimates of parameters are obtained by minimizing the AIC, defined as:

\[
\text{AIC} = N \log(\hat{\sigma}^2) + 2(p + 1)
\]

where, \(p\) is the number of parameters to be estimated.

3.1. The above discussed model is applied to Indian annual rainfall time-series data during the period 1901 to 2012, obtained from the website (www.tropmet.res.in) of the Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune. Out of total 112 data points, first 102 data points are used for model building and the remaining 10 data points are used for validation purpose. A perusal of dataset in Fig. 1 indicates sudden burst and fluctuations at several time-epochs.
The entire data analysis is carried out using MATLAB, Ver. 7.4 software package. On the basis of minimum Akaike information criterion (AIC), EXPAR (1) is selected for modelling of the yearly data. To get a visual idea, the graph of fitted EXPAR (1) model along with data points are exhibited in Fig. 2. A visual inspection shows that the fitted model is able to properly capture the cyclical fluctuations present in the data set compared to the ARIMA model shown in Fig. 3. To study the appropriateness of the fitted model, the autocorrelation function of the standardized residuals was computed. It was found that the autocorrelation function was
TABLE 1

One-step ahead forecasts of rainfall data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rainfall</th>
<th>ARIMA</th>
<th>EXPAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1088.5</td>
<td>706.67</td>
<td>881.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1003.4</td>
<td>582.51</td>
<td>1001.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1141.1</td>
<td>651.43</td>
<td>1086.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1112.7</td>
<td>572.93</td>
<td>1139.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1149.7</td>
<td>605.10</td>
<td>1110.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1118.3</td>
<td>583.27</td>
<td>1147.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>886.4</td>
<td>603.51</td>
<td>1116.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1107.6</td>
<td>712.48</td>
<td>885.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1051.8</td>
<td>573.72</td>
<td>1105.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>963.8</td>
<td>630.36</td>
<td>1050.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. In this section a comparative study intended to evaluate the ARIMA and EXPAR models on their ability to produce forecasts is carried out. To this end, ARIMA models are fitted to the data under consideration. On the basis of minimum AIC criterion, the ARIMA (2, 0, 0) model is selected, which is given by

\[ X_{t+1} = 996.30 - 0.04X_t + 0.13X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t+1} \]

A visual inspection of Table 1 indicates that EXPAR model performs comparatively well. Further, the performance of fitted models is also compared on the basis of one-step-ahead Mean square prediction error (MSPE), Mean absolute prediction error (MAPE) and Relative mean absolute prediction error (RMAPE) given by

\[ \text{MSPE} = 1/10 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \left( Y_{T+i+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+i+1} \right)^2 \]

\[ \text{MAPE} = 1/10 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \left| Y_{T+i+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+i+1} \right| \]

\[ \text{RMAPE} = 1/10 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \left( \left| Y_{T+i+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+i+1} \right| / Y_{T+i+1} \right) \times 100 \]

The MSPE, MAPE and RMAPE values for fitted EXPAR model are respectively computed as 18537.92 cm², 111.82 cm and 10.81 which are found to be lower than the corresponding ones for fitted ARIMA model, viz., 201275.60 cm², 440.12 cm and 41.12 respectively. This indicates the superiority of EXPAR model over ARIMA model from forecasting point of view.

4. In this paper, a parametric nonlinear time-series model (EXPAR) was described to forecast the Annual rainfall of India. It is seen that the cyclical fluctuations of the time-series data is properly captured by the EXPAR model. Superiority of the methodology over other competing methodology, ARIMA, was also demonstrated.
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